RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS AIR FORCE BOARD FOR CORRECTION OF MILITARY RECORDS

IN THE MATTER OF:

DOCKET NUMBER: 97-QCT291998

COUNSEL: NONE

HEARING DESIRED: NO

Applicant requests that her records be corrected to reflect a change in her time in service (TIS); she be awarded back pay for the income lost during her period on the Temporary Disability Retired List (TDRL); and, she be given supplemental consideration for promotion to the grade of technical sergeant. Applicant's submission is at Exhibit A.

The appropriate Air Force offices evaluated applicant's request and provided advisory opinions to the Board recommending the application be denied (Exhibit C). The advisory opinions were forwarded to the applicant for review and response (Exhibit D). As of this date, no response has been received by this office.

After careful consideration of applicant's request and the available evidence of record, we find insufficient evidence of error or injustice to warrant corrective action. The facts and opinions stated in the advisory opinions appear to be based on the evidence of record and have not been rebutted by applicant. Absent persuasive evidence applicant was denied rights to which entitled, appropriate regulations were not followed, or appropriate standards were not applied, we find no basis to disturb the existing record.

Accordingly, applicant's request is denied.

The Board staff is directed to inform applicant of this decision. Applicant should also be informed that this decision is final and will only be reconsidered upon the presentation of new relevant evidence which was not reasonably available at the time the application was filed.

Members of the Board Mr. David C. Van Gasbeck, Mr. Richard A. Peterson, and Mr. Jackson A. Hauslein considered this application on 20 Oct 98 in accordance with the provisions of Air Force Instruction 36-2603 and the governing statute, 10 U.S.C. 1552.

DAVID C. VAN GASBECK

Panel Chair

Exhibits:

- A. Applicant's DD Form 149
- B. Available Master Personnel Records
- C. Advisory Opinions
- D. SAF/MIBR Ltr Forwarding Advisory Opinions

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERSAIR FORCE PERSONNEL CENTER RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE, TEXAS

16 Dec 97

MEMORANDUM FOR AFBCMR

FROM:

HQ AFPC/DPPD

550 C Street West Ste 06

Randolph AFB TX 78150-4708

SUBJECT

Application for Correction of Military Records

REQUESTED ACTION Applicant requests that her military records be changed to correct her time in service, back pay for income lost during her period on the **Temporary** Disability Retired List (TDRL), and supplemental promotion consideration to Technical Sergeant based on her conclusion that she was erroneously placed on the **TDRL**.

<u>FACTS</u>: Applicant was involuntarily retired from the Air Force on 1I Mar 96 for medical disability under the provisions of AFI 36-3212 and placed on the TDRL. Member had completed ten years, nine months, and eight days of active duty. Subsequently, she was found fit for duty and removed from the TDRL on 3 Aug 97.

DISCUSSION: The purpose of the military disability **system** is to **maintain** a fit and vital force by **separating** members who **are** unable to **perform the** duties of their grade, office, **rank** or rating. Members **who** are separated or retired for reason of physical disability may be eligible, if otherwise qualified, for **certain** disability compensations. Eligibility for disability processing is established by a Medical Evaluation Board (MEB) when that **board** finds that **the** member **may** not be qualified for continued military service. The decision to conduct an MEB is made by the medical treatment facility providing care to the **member.**

A review of the member's records reflect that an MEB was conducted at Keesler AFB on 27 Oct 95 for a diagnosis of "Sarcoidosis, Stage I, with progressive shortness of breath and dyspnea on exertion," While she was first diagnosed with this condition in 1992, an MEB was not convened until 1995 when her condition had progressively worsened. On 21 Nov 95, the Informal Physical Evaluation Board (IPEB) found her unfit for continued military service and recommended she be placed on the TDRL with a 30 percent disability rating. Member concurred with the findings and she was placed on the TDRL effective 12 Mer 96.

On 5 Jun 97, the member received her first TDRL re-evaluation. Based on the updated medical documentation provided, her condition was found to have subsided and the IPEB found her fit for duty and recommended her removal from the TDRL. She was subsequently removed from the TDRL on 3 Aug 97 and she elected to return to active duty on 4 Aug 97,

After a thorough review of the applicant's file, we found no errors or irregularities. Her disability case was correctly processed, she was appropriately found unfit in Nov 95 and fit to return to duty in Jun 97, and she was afforded all rights to which she was entitled to under department directives and law.

<u>RECOMMENDATION</u>: We recommend denial of the applicant's request. The applicant has not submitted any material or documentation to show that the service member was improperly processed or found unfit at the time of her temporary retirement.

SIGNED

Chief, Physical Disability Division Directorate of Pers Prog Management





DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS AIR FORCE PERSONNEL CENTER RANDOLPH AIR FORCE BASE TEXAS

2 2 DEC 1997

to explain

MEMORANDUM FOR AFBCMR

FROM: HQ AFPC/DPPPWB

550 C Street West, Ste **09**

Randolph AFB TX 78150-4711

1-178 5

SUBJECT: Application for Correction of **Military** Records

Requested Action. The applicant is requesting correction to her time in service (removal of the time spent on the Temporary Disability List (TDRL)), back pay, and supplemental promotion consideration to TSpt.

Reason for Request. The applicant claims that she was erroneously placed on the TDRL and as a result, has lost valuable time-in-service and will have to serve an additional one and one-half year, and will not be able to test for promotion without a correction to her records.

Eacts. The applicant was placed on the TDRL on 11 Mar 96 in the grade of SSgt. She was subsequently found fit and returned to active duty on 4 Aug 97.

Discussion.

- a. When the applicant was placed on the TDRL on 11 Mar 96, she was ineligible for promotion consideration to TSpt for the 96E6 cycle (promotionseffective Aug 96 Jul 97) because she did not have the minimum time-in-grade (TIG) requirement. At the time she was placed on TDRL, promotion testing was being conducted for the 96E6 cycle. Although she is requesting supplemental promotion consideration to TSgt for the 97E6 cycle, she was ineligible for consideration because she was not on active duty. Promotion testing for the 97E6 cycle was conducted 15 Jan 97 31 Mar 97 with promotions effective Aug 97 Jul 98. She must have been on active duty as of 31 Dec 96, the Promotion Eligibility Cutoff Date (PECD) for this cycle to have been eligible, assuming she met all other eligibility requirements.
- b. Hq AFPC/DPPD has addressed the issue of the validity of her placement on the TDRL and we defer to their recommendation. Unless the Board negates the TDRL and gives her credit for continuous active service while she was on the TDRL, she would not be entitled to supplemental consideration for the 97E6 cycle. If the Board does grant her request, she would be considered supplementally with the Promotion Fitness Examination (PFE) only since she retrained into another Air Force Specialty in Sep 97 after she returned to active duty. Because she has retrained from the 4N051 (Medical Services Journeyman) Air Force Specialty, she can no longer have access to the Specialty Knowledge Test (SKT) for it. This is why she would be

considered SKT exempt for any supplemental consideration for the 97E6 cycle. In addition, she would be considered for the 97E6 cycle using the PFE score she obtains for the next cycle, 98E6. Testing for the 98E6 cycle will be conducted between 15 Jan 98 - 31 Mar 98. In accordance with AFI 36-2505, Atch 10 (Attach), when testing begins for the next cycle, tests for the previous cycle are destroyed as the Air Force does not administer obsolete tests for promotion consideration.

Recommendation. We **defer** to the **recommendation** of Hq **AFPC/DPPD regarding** the validity **of** her placement on the TDRL. If supplemental promotion consideration for the 97E6 cycle **should** be appropriate, **the** applicant would be considered with the **PFE** only (SKT exempt) based on the **rationale** provided.

Chief, Inquiries/AFBCMR Section Enlisted Promotion Branch

Atachment Extract cy AFI 36-2505



200 32 R3

- Al0.103. Personnel Departing to Contingency Operations. TCOs and TEs will use the following procedures for personnel deployed to real world operations with limited objectives (less than general or limited war), not including exercises.
- A10.10.3.1. Give all members who deploy at least 90 days in support of a contingency operation 60 calendar days preparation time (30 days personal time (including leave) and 30 days study time) upon return to home station before testing them for promotion. Members must ensure they receive the authorized personal at study time. They may waive their right to the full 60 days preparation time by signing a statement. Complete promotion testing between 60 to 75 days after members return from deployment.
- A10.10.3.2. Give all members who deploy for less than 90 days a delay in testing up to 30 days upon request from the unit commander. The unit commander must base this request on the duration of deployment and the conditions at the deployed location.
- A10.10.3.3. Unit orderly rooms will advise MPFs as soon as possible when a member requiring testing is scheduled for deployment so that testing can be accomplished before departure. Unit orderly rooms and MPFs must advise deploying members to take their study references with them whenever possible.
- A10.11. Testing after the Normal Test Cycle. Only test members out-of-cycle if they are late gains, previously overlooked, deployed, medically excused, or TDY for the duration of the cycle. Explain supplemental promotion procedures to the affected individuals (refer to AFI 36-2502).
- A10.11.1. The MPF chief approves all out-of-cycle testing except for medical deferment as specified in paragraph A10.11.3. Testing in support of out-of-cycle supplemental consideration will be processed according to AFMAN 36-2622, volume 1, Base Level Military Personnel System (formerly AFM 30-130).
- A10.11.2. Schedule individuals for testing who become eligible unexpectedly (e.g., supplementally promoted or correction of records action) after 30 days have passed from the date of identification. Do not give additional study time to individuals who were overlooked since it is their responsibility to be ready to test.
- A10.11.3. Excuse airmen from testing with an escort during the appropriate test cycle for medical reasons if they have an AF Form 422, Physical Profile Serial Report, from the installation medical treatment facility. The AF Form 422 will indicate if the airman is or is not medically able to study during this period. Schedule testing after the release date of the temporary restriction listed on the AF Form 422. If the condition still exists after that date, the airman must obtain a new AF Form 422. Counsel airmen that unless they were restricted from studying, they will be tested upon release with no additional study time allowed and must prepare themselves accordingly. Give personnel who cannot study due to classified or restricted references 30 days study time upon medical clearance.
- A10.11.4. Test patients assigned to Air Force medical facilities who have study references and are well enough to test as determined by the physician and unit commander. They may decline to do so just as other eligibles. Test patients who were in a non-Air Force hospital upon return to duty or transfer to an Air Force facility. Schedule these members for testing ensuring at least 30 days access to study reference material.
- A10.12. Supplemental Testing. Test individuals for supplemental promotion consideration according to the individual test requirements provided by HQ AFMPC/DPMAJW and additional guidance from AFOMS/OMD. Give the current revision if testing for the next cycle has already begun. For example, tests valid for cycle 95A5 will be given until testing begins for cycle 96A5. Never administer an obsolete test for supplemental promotion consideration.
- A10.13. Testing Notification. TCOs or TEs must coordinate test dates between MPFs, unit WAPS monitors, and/or unit commanders. The unit commander must notify and direct airmen to report for testing as scheduled. The unit commander also advises members that failure to show or late arrival may render them ineligible for promotion for that cycle. The airman completes AF Form/RIP 1566. The WAPS monitor returns the original to the MPF and gives a copy to the member.
- A10.13.1. Airmen acknowledge receipt of the scheduled testing appointment by completing the RIP, 1st indorsement, paragraphs 2 and 3.

9757787